

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,923	10/631,923 07/31/2003		Raya Levin	4517-4002	9017
27123	7590	01/18/2006		EXAMINER	
		GAN, L.L.P.		LISH, PETER J	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/631,923	LEVIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter J. Lish	1754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 O</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22 and 26 is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<u> </u>	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/04</u> .	o) 🗀 Oulet						

Art Unit: 1754

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-22 and 26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/18/05.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gennaro (Remington: The Science and Practice of Pharmacy).

Gennaro teaches processes for the production of tablets. The process of wet granulation comprises mixing the tablet ingredients in a high shear mixer followed by drying in a flat bed oven, such as a fluidized bed oven (pages 1623-1624). No difference is seen between the process of Gennaro and that of the instantly claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 1754

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gennaro as applied above and further in view of Chau et al. (US 5,637,313).

Gennaro does not specifically teach the use of calcium carbonate as an ingredient in the production of tablets. Chau et al., however, teaches that calcium carbonate is often included in a variety of tablets as antacids and bulking agents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to include calcium carbonate in a tablet (such as a vitamin or an antacid) produced by the process of Gennaro, as the use of calcium carbonate is a variety of medicines, vitamins, and supplements is known in the art.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gennaro as applied above and further in view of Iorio (US 4,812,303).

Gennaro does not specifically teach the use of calcium carbonate as an ingredient in the production of tablets. Iorio, however, teaches that calcium carbonate is used as an ingredient in the production of calcium supplement tablets. The tablets are made by conventional processes, such as those taught by Gennaro. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to use the conventional tabletting processes of Gennaro to make the calcium supplements of Iorio.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Lish whose telephone number is 571-272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PL

STANKEY S. SILVERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700